

NON LINEAR OPTICS LECTURE 2

Nonlinear Wave Equation

Maxwell's equations

Dielectric Material, free of charges and current

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{D} = 0$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$

with $\vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{H}$ and $\vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P}(t)$

where $\vec{P}(t) = \vec{P}^{(n)} + \vec{P}^{(nl)}$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{D}}{\partial t^2}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P}^{(n)} + \vec{P}^{(nl)}$$

Non-linear response of the material subject to the excited field $\vec{E}(t)$

$$(1) \left[\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{P}^{(n)}}{\partial t^2} - \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{P}^{(nl)}}{\partial t^2} \right]$$

Reminder about the Fourier Transform

convention $\vec{E}(t) = \int \vec{E}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} d\omega$

1

$$\hookrightarrow \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \int -i\omega E(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} d\omega$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} = \int -\omega^2 E(\omega) d\omega$$

after

Substitution in (1)

$$\vec{\nabla}_x \vec{\nabla}_x \vec{E}(\omega) - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \vec{E}(\omega) = +\mu_0 \omega^2 \vec{P}^{(1)}(\omega) + \mu_0 \omega^2 \vec{P}^{(2)}(\omega)$$

$$\text{now } \vec{P}^{(1)}(\omega) = \underline{\underline{\chi^{(1)}}}(\omega) \vec{E}(\omega)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\vec{\nabla}_x \vec{\nabla}_x \vec{E}(\omega) - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \underline{\underline{\epsilon}}(\omega) \vec{E}(\omega) = \omega^2 \mu_0 \vec{P}^{(2)}(\omega)} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{with } \underline{\underline{\epsilon}} = 1 + \underline{\underline{\chi^{(1)}}}$$

↳ the relative permittivity

Assumptions

- Material is supposed to be HOMOGENEOUS + ISOTROPIC.

$$\cancel{\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}(\vec{r}, \omega)} \rightarrow \underline{\underline{\epsilon}}(\omega)$$

Taking into account that:

$$\nabla_{\alpha} \vec{\nabla}_{\alpha} \vec{E} = \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}) - \Delta \vec{E}$$

and that $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{D} = 0 = \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\epsilon \vec{E}) = 0$

as ϵ is a scalar and independent of $\vec{r}$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\epsilon \vec{E}) = \epsilon \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0}$$

verified only in
homogeneous
isotropic
material

$$(2) \Rightarrow \boxed{\Delta \vec{E} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \epsilon(\omega) \vec{E} = -\omega^2 \mu_0 \vec{P}(\omega)} \quad (3)$$

comments

↳ in linear regime, for which $\vec{P}_M = 0$,
we retrieve the well-known linear
wave equation

$$\Delta \vec{E} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \epsilon \vec{E} = 0$$

valid for a linear, isotropic, homogeneous
material

is in a non linear regime; $\vec{P}_M \neq 0$

plays the role of a DRIVEN term
in the differential equation, which in
turn is going to modify the field
amplitude $\vec{E}(\omega)$

→ Case of a z propagative wave



Assumption:

- Monochromatic waves
- we do not consider the transverse distribution $\rightarrow$ plane wave.

$$\vec{E}(\omega, z) = A(z) e^{ikz} \vec{e} \quad (\text{propagation } z > 0)$$

↳ Substitution in (3)

$$\Delta \vec{E} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \epsilon(\omega) \vec{E}(\omega) = \omega^2 \mu_0 \vec{P}(\omega) \quad (3)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \Delta = \cancel{\nabla^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$$

$$\vec{e} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial z} = \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial z} + i k A \right) e^{i k z}$$

$$\vec{e} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial z^2} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial z^2} + 2 i k \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} - k^2 A \right) e^{i k z}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^4 A}{\partial z^4} + 2 i k \frac{\partial^3 A}{\partial z^3} - k^2 \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \epsilon A = -\omega^2 \mu_0 \vec{e} \cdot \vec{P}_M(\omega) e^{-i k z}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Dispersion relation } k_{\omega}^2 = \frac{c \omega^2}{c} \epsilon(\omega)$$

Slowly varying envelope approximation

$$\hookrightarrow \left| \frac{\partial^4 A}{\partial z^4} \right| \ll \left| 2 k \frac{\partial^3 A}{\partial z^3} \right| \text{ with } k = \frac{2\pi n}{\lambda}$$

$$\leadsto \frac{(\Delta A)^4}{\Delta z \Delta z} \ll \frac{(\Delta A)^2}{\lambda \Delta z}$$

Assuming a very weak perturbation (weak non-linear interaction), one can neglect the variation of the env. A over a typical length $\approx \lambda$

$\Rightarrow$ Non-linear wave equation

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial z} = \frac{i\omega}{2mc\epsilon_0} \vec{e} \cdot \vec{P}_{NL}(\omega) e^{-ikz}$$