



**FACULTÉ DE PHARMACIE** 

# **D2HP – OTU 05 Pharmaceutical engineering : practical works**

#### **Eloisa BERBEL MANAIA**

**Institut Galien Paris-Saclay Team. Improvement of the transport of biologically active drugs Bâtiment Henri Moissan,HM1, 3rd floor, Office 2820 eloisa.berbel-manaia@universite-paris-saclay.fr**





#### **PLANNING**

#### **Timetable D2HP-2024/2025**



**EBM** : Eloisa Berbel Manaja

JPM : Jean Philippe Michel

Note: Groups will be formed during the first class.

#### **Evaluation:**

- report of Practical classes
- participation at the tutorial and PW



#### **GROUPES**





-**Respect your groupe Practical classes**



- **Practical classes are mandatory**



#### **1. Introduction**

- **2. Matrix tablets: overview**
- **3. Inert matrix tablets**
- **4. Swellable matrix tablets**
- **5. Erodible matrix tablets**
- **6. Other excipients / some reminders on tablets**
- **7. Controls**
- **8. Conclusion**



# **CONVENTIONNAL DDS\***

#### **\* DDS = Drug Delivery Systems**

#### **Oral route (ex: solutions, tablets, capsules)**

- Easy to use
- Good compliance
- Exposure to digestive fluids
- Low absorption of some API (Mw, low water solubility))

#### **Parenteral (ex: solution for IV injection)**

- Rapid action
- No absorption step
- Lower compliance
- Rapid clearance







**Absorption and distribution depend essentially on the API physico-chemical properties.**



# **NOVEL DDS**



- Inserts, implants
- Adhesive systems

**6**

## **MODIFIED RELEASE DDS**



**In Eur. Ph. « modified release » because of modification of…**

- **- release rate**
- **- release site**
- **- release moment**



### **MODIFIED RELEASE DDS**



D'après Cummings et al.<sup>4</sup>

Therapy for Alzheimer's disease

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **MODIFIED RELEASE DDS**

#### **Advantages**

- Compliance
- Lower amount of API delivered per unit of time
- Reduction in the incidence and severity of both local and systemic side effects
- Reduced blood level oscillation (night)
- Economy

…

#### **Limitations**

- Accumulation (in case of slow elimination)
- Overdosage (default, bad use)
- Problem in case of intolerance or « poisoning »
- Size of the dosage form
- Complex formulation development

…

![](_page_8_Picture_15.jpeg)

# **TWO DESIGNS**

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **OTHER CR TABLETS**

More original systems

#### Ex: - **Osmotic-controlled Release Oral delivery Systems**

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### - **Prolonged gastric residence time**

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

- **1. Introduction**
- **2. Matrix tablets: overview**
- **3. Inert matrix tablets**
- **4. Swellable matrix tablets**
- **5. Erodible matrix tablets**
- **6. Other excipients / some reminders on tablets**
- **7. Controls**
- **8. Conclusion**

![](_page_11_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **MATRIX TABLETS**

**Release controlled by diffusion mechanism into polymer network**

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **CRITICAL POINT: DIFFUSION PROCESSES**

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

For all the diffusing species, the diffusion rate follows the Fick law:

$$
\frac{dQ}{dt} = -S \cdot D \cdot \frac{dc}{dx}
$$

S = Diffusion surface

D = Diffusion coefficient

dc/dx = Concentration gradient

**Into matrices, modulation of the diffusion coefficient D**

![](_page_13_Picture_9.jpeg)

## **RELEASE KINETICS ORDERS**

**Zero-order** Release rate is constant (except at the end)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

**1 5**

#### **First-order**

Release rate decreases with time

## **MATRIX TABLETS**

**Release controlled by diffusion mechanism into polymer network**

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **INERT MATRIX TABLETS**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

**1 7** Thickness of diffusion layer (h) (i.e. rate of agitation or stirring). The saturation solubility of a drug is a key factor in the Noyes- Whitney equation. The driving force for dissolution is the concentration gradient across the boundary layer.

*h*

## **HETEROGENEOUS INERT MATRIX**

In heterogeneous inert matrices, dissolved API diffuse through the pore

The API diffusion coeffcient depends on matrix porosity  $(\epsilon)$  and tortuosity  $(\tau)$ 

$$
D_{eff.} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau} D_{API} \text{ into diss.} \text{med.}
$$

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **HETEROGENEOUS INERT MATRIX**

#### **Higuchi simplified model for heterogeneous inert matrices: ex: Ethylcellulose**

if Q is the released amount per surface (S) at time (t),

$$
P^{\text{Then}}Q/S = [D_{PA}.C_S.(\varepsilon/\tau).(2.A - \varepsilon.C_S).t]^{1/2}
$$

with

D<sub>PA</sub> = diffusion coefficient of the API into the dissolution medium

A = Initial concentration of the API into the matrix

 $C<sub>S</sub>$  = API solubility into the dissolution medium

- $\epsilon$  = matrix porosity
- $\tau$  = matrix tortuosity

![](_page_18_Figure_10.jpeg)

### **INERT MATRIX - POROSITY**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **INERT MATRIX - POROSITY**

#### - **Pycnometry** (gaz or liquid)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

Compacity  $(\%) = (D_{compact\ after\ ejection} / D_{pycnometry}) \times 100$ 

Porosity  $(\%) = \epsilon = 100$  - compacity

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **INERT MATRICX - POROSITY**

Effect of compression force on starch tablets

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

figure B.15b: photographie MEB d'un comprimé d'amidon CS de porosité relaxée 17 % (à gauche avec un zoom sur un grain d'amidon (à droite)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

Thèse E. Serris, Ecole des Mines 2002

### **INERT MATRICES - POROSITY**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **INERT MATRICES - TORTUOSITY**

#### **Experimental quantification**

Ex: microtomography X

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

**Theoretical quantification**

$$
\frac{1}{\tau} = 1 - \frac{2}{3} \left( 1 + \varepsilon \right) \left( 1 - \varepsilon \right)^{2/3}
$$

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

**In general between 1 and 3**

## **MATRIX TABLETS**

**Release controlled by diffusion mechanism into polymer network**

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **MATRIX WITH SWELLING**

Creation of a gel layer (hydrated polymer with high-molecular weight) at tablet surface.

API release depends on gel layer properties.

Strong effect of hydrated polymer viscosity on the stability and thickness of this layer or on API diffusion.

Ex: *Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC), hydroxypropylcellulose (HPC),…*

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **Special case: HOMOGENEOUS MATRIX**

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Special case: HOMOGENEOUS MATRIX**

#### **Higuchi simplified model for homogeneous matrix**

if Q is the released amount per surface (S) at time (t),

Then

$$
Q / S = [D_{PA}.C_{S} (2.A - C_{S}).t]^{1/2}
$$

**With** 

#### $D_{PA}$  = diffusion coefficient of the API into hydrated polymer

A = Initial concentration of the API into the matrix

 $C<sub>S</sub>$  = API solubility into the dissolution medium

*Hypothesis: - one-direction release - Sink conditions - Instantaneous dissolution of the API*

*- Instantaneous fully hydration of the polymer*

![](_page_27_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **MATRIX WITH SWELLING**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **MATRIX WITH SWELLING**

#### **Principal consequences of swelling:**

- increase of the diffusion path length for API = decrease of the release rate

- increase of polymer chain mobility (and then also for API) = increase of the release rate

**Modelisation is complex because of simultaneous phenomena.**

**Simple model: Peppas-Korsmeyer model**

 $M_t/M_{\infty} = kt^n$ 

with  $n = 0.5$  essentially diffusion\*  $($ \* cf. previous slides)

0,5< n<1 diffusion + polymer relaxation + erosion

**More complex models exist:**

$$
\frac{M_t}{M_{\infty}} = 4 \left[ \frac{Dt}{\ell^2} \right]^{1/2} \left[ \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^x (-1)^n \text{ierfc} \left( \frac{n\ell}{2\sqrt{Dt}} \right) \right]
$$

![](_page_29_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **MATRIX TABLETS**

**Release controlled by diffusion mechanism into polymer network**

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **MATRIX WITH DEGRADATION**

**- Erosion** = polymer chain lysis Ex.: Poly(lactic-co-glycolic) acids

**- Dissolution** = polymer chain solubilization Exemple: Polymethacrylates

#### Matrix degradation depends on balance between two rates: **water penetration vs. polymer dissolution/degradation rates.**

Surface degradation Volume degradation

**Erosion kinetics** control the API release

![](_page_31_Figure_6.jpeg)

Ex: *Polylactic-co-glycolic acids (PLGA), polymethacrylates, HPMC and HPC with low viscosity,…* **<sup>3</sup>**

![](_page_31_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **MATRIX WITH DEGRADATION**

### • **HETEROGENEOUS EROSION = Surface erosion**

- Hydrophobic polymers
- Physical integrity of the matrix is maintained
- Zero-order kinetics are possible (erosion >> diffusion)

### • **HOMOGENEOUS EROSION = Volume erosion**

- More hydrophilic polymer
- Physical integrity of the matrix is lost
- Erosion + diffusion
- Kinetics less related to the time

![](_page_32_Figure_10.jpeg)

## **PARAMETERS RELATED TO API**

#### **Solubility**

Only solubilized fraction can diffuse Dissolution rate increases with solubility Si solubilité < 0,01 mg/ml, libération souvent incomplète Influence du pKa

#### **Dosage**

If more than 500 mg, hard to formulate matrix

#### **Molecular weight**

If > 500 Da, diffusion coefficient into polymer network could be low

#### **Granulometry**

Influence intrinsic dissolution of the API

![](_page_33_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **PARAMETERS RELATED TO POLYMER**

#### **Viscosity**

**Granulometry**

**Crystallinity**

**Glass transition temperature**

**Polymer proportion**

#### **Interactions with API**

- Cationic polymers (NaCMC, chitosan) + Anionic API
- Carbopol-based matrices + Basic API

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

- **1. Introduction**
- **2. Matrix tablets: overview**
- **3. Inert matrix tablets**
- **4. Swellable matrix tablets**
- **5. Erodible matrix tablets**
- **6. Other excipients / some reminders on tablets**
- **7. Controls**
- **8. Conclusion**

![](_page_35_Picture_9.jpeg)

**Function :** to act as a bulking agent or filling material

**Ideal diluent:** Chemically and physiologically inert Easy tabletted Inexpensive Non-hygroscopic Soluble or not, taste, acid or alcalin,...

**Examples:** Starch, Lactose, Sucrose, Glucose, Mannitol, Sorbitol, Calcium Phosphate, Calcium Carbonate, Cellulose…

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

**True density :** The mass of a particle divided by its volume, excluding open and closed pores

**Bulk density:** The bulk density of a material. It is the ratio of the mass to the volume (including the inter-particle void volume) of an untapped powder sample

**Tapped density :** The tapped density of powders or granulates is an increased bulk density attained after mechanically tapping a cylinder containing the sample.

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **LACTOSE**

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### *True density*

1.540 for  $\alpha$ -lactose monohydrate; 1.589 for anhydrous  $\beta$ -lactose.

> **3 9**

*Bulk density***:** 0.619 g/cm3 . *Tapped density***:** 0.935 g/cm3

 $\alpha$  - lactose

 $\beta$  - lactose

Different kind of lactoses: anhydrous  $\alpha$ -lactose, monohydrate  $\alpha$ -lactose, anhydrous  $\beta$ -lactose (in general 70/30 β/α mixtures),...

Examples of commercial monohydrate lactoses

![](_page_38_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### **MICROCRYSTALLINE CELLULOSE**

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

*Angle of repose***:** 34.4° for Emcocel 90M

#### *Bulk density:*

0.337 g/cm3 0.32 g/cm3 for Avicel PH-101 0.29 g/cm3 for Emcocel 90M

### *Tapped density:*

0.478 g/cm<sup>3</sup> 0.45 g/cm3 for Avicel PH-101 0.35 g/cm3 for Emcocel 90M

*True density***:** 1.512-1.668 g/cm3

![](_page_39_Picture_10.jpeg)

### **CALCIUM PHOSPHATE (DIHYDRATE)** CaHPO<sub>4</sub> (+2H<sub>2</sub>0)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

x 100 x 300

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

*True density:* 2.89 g/cm3 for A-TAB 2.39 g/cm3 for DI-TAB

*Bulk density:* 0.78 g/cm3 for A-TAB

*Tapped density:* 0.82 g/cm3 for A-TAB

![](_page_40_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **FLOWABILITY**

#### Powder Flowability of Pharmaceutical Excipients

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

Mean Time to Avalanche (sec)

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **FLOWABILITY**

**Powder Flowability of Pharmaceutical Excipients** 

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **COMPACTABILITY**

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

**4**

Crushing strength vs. applied force for compacts of various materials.

## **COMPACTABILITY**

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

Schematical illustration of processes that take place during compression.

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **BINDERS**

#### **Functions**: - Bind particles into entangled networks

- Produce tablets with sufficient hardness

#### **Types of binders:**

Powder (direct compression, dry granulation) Solution (wet granulation)

#### **Examples:**

**Solution** : Gelatin, Cellulose and derivatives, PVP, starch, sucrose, PEG

**Dry**: Cellulose, Methyl cellulose, PVP, PEG

![](_page_45_Picture_8.jpeg)

## **LUBRICANTS**

**Anti-friction:** reduction of the frictions between powder grains or between powder and die wall.

**Anti-adherent:** prevention of powder sticking on die or punches.

ex: Magnesium stearate, sodium laurylsulfate, stearic acid, talc..

+ nice appearance, shiny, dust free…

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **TABLET COMPRESSION**

**Fa: force applied by the upper punch**

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

*Correspond to energy lost by friction during tablet ejection*

**Fb: force felt by the lower punch**

# **TABLET COMPRESSION and LUBRICANTS**

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 1. Force applied by the upper punch (full blue line)
- 2. Force felt by the lower punch (dotted blue line)
- 3. Upper punch displacement (full red line)
- 4. Lower punch displacement (dotted purple line)
- 5. Radial force (green line)

### **LUBRICANTS**

#### **MAGNESIUM STEARATE**

 $[CH_3(CH_2)_{16}COO]_2Mg$ 

![](_page_49_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **GLIDANTS**

**Function:** Improve flow sufficiently for uniform die filling

ex: Colloidal silicon dioxide, talc, magnesium or calcium silicate…

![](_page_50_Picture_3.jpeg)

**5 1**

## **DISINTEGRATING AGENTS**

**Function**: disrupt the tablet structure and lead to fragmentation facilitating API dissolution.

#### **Mode of action:**

Favor water uptake

Disintegrating agent particles swell in presence of water

**Examples:** Starch, Reticulated Cellulose, PVP, Sodium starch glycolate, Sodium carboxymethylcellulose,…

![](_page_51_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_7.jpeg)

## **PROPORTION OF THE EXCIPIENTS**

In general in tablets (not specific to matrix)

![](_page_52_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **CATEGORIES vs. GLOBAL PROPERTIES**

**Beyond classes, it is important to consider all the properties of the different excipients !**

#### **Some examples:**

![](_page_53_Picture_101.jpeg)

#### *Mixture of diluents*

Calcium Phosphate + Microcrystalline cellulose

#### *Lubricants and release kinetics*

Magnesium stearate vs. Sodium laurylsulfate

#### *Solubility of diluents*

Calcium Phosphate vs Lactose

![](_page_53_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

- **1. Introduction**
- **2. Matrix tablets: overview**
- **3. Inert matrix tablets**
- **4. Swellable matrix tablets**
- **5. Erodible matrix tablets**
- **6. Other excipients / some reminders on tablets**
- **7. Controls**
- **8. Conclusion**

![](_page_54_Picture_9.jpeg)

# **CONTROLS**

**Potentially dangerous forms because they contain large doses** (equivalent to several administration of conventionnal release dosage form)

**It is essential to validate the availability of the API**

**Drug dissolution testing, measuring the extent and rate of solution formation from a dosage form, is critical for its bioavailability and therapeutic effectiveness**

Paddle apparatus/ Basket apparatus / continuous flow-through cell Sink conditions

![](_page_55_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### **+ usual controls for tablets**

- Uniformity (mass, dose)
- Mechanical properties
- Friability

![](_page_55_Picture_12.jpeg)

Weigh 20 tablets and calculate the average mass. When weighed singly, the deviation of individual masses from the average mass should exceed the limits given below

![](_page_56_Picture_68.jpeg)

Table 2.9.5.-1

\* When the average mass is not more than 40 mg, the test for uniformity of content of single-dose preparations (2.9.6) is performed instead of the test for uniformity of mass.

Max 2 out this range 0 out the double of this range

![](_page_56_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **TABLET HARDNESS**

#### **Crushing Strength Test**

This measures the degree of force needed to fracture a tablet.

Measurement accuracy: 1 Newton

Number of units tested: 10

![](_page_57_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_8.jpeg)

## **TENSILE STRENGTH**

#### **Tensile strength (MPa)** (only if diametral fracture)

 $\pi De$ *F* 2  $\sigma$ =

F: force needed to fracture tablet (N) D: tablet diameter (mm) e: tablet thickness (mm)

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

**Allows to overcome the dimensions of tablets**

**Recommended values: 1 to 2 MPa**

![](_page_58_Picture_7.jpeg)

## **FRIABILITY**

Required amount of tablets is dedusted, weighed and subjected to a uniform tumbling motion for a specified time. They are then dedusted and reweighed.

> Mean tablet mass > 650 mg : 10 tablets < 650 mg: closest to 6.5 g

100 rotations = 4 minutes

![](_page_59_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **PRACTICAL WORKS**

#### **Practical work objective:**

To develop matrix tablets able to release 50% of a defined API into 4 hours.

![](_page_60_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **CONCLUSION**

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)