

Cycloaddition

 International Edition: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201803571
 German Edition: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201803571

Preparation of Cyclobutene Acetals and Tricyclic Oxetanes through Photochemical Tandem and Cascade Reactions

 Julien Buendia⁺, Zong Chang⁺, Hendrik Eijsberg, Régis Guillot, Angelo Frongia, Francesco Secci, Juan Xie, Sylvie Robin, Thomas Boddaert, and David J. Aitken*

Abstract: We describe a photochemical reaction using two starting materials, a cyclopent-2-enone and an alkene, which are transformed in a controlled manner via the initial [2+2]-photocycloaddition adducts into cyclobutene aldehydes (conveniently trapped as stable acetals) or unprecedented angular tricyclic 4:4:4 oxetane-containing skeletons. These compounds are formed through tandem or triple cascade photochemical reaction processes, respectively. Small libraries of each compound class were prepared, thus suggesting that this photochemistry approach opens new opportunities for synthesis design and for widening molecular diversity.

Photochemical reactions constitute powerful tools for modern synthetic chemistry.^[1] The selective activation of molecules through the acquisition of a considerable amount of energy by the absorption of light can provide access to complex molecular structures that are difficult to obtain otherwise, through transformations that have no parallel in ground-state chemical reactivity. Such processes are attractive in the context of sustainable development, since the explicit reagent is a simple photon. Significant recent advances have been collated in the areas of catalyst-free photochemical synthesis,^[2] visible-light photocatalysis,^[3] enantioselective catalysis,^[4] photochirogenesis^[5] and the use of flow processes,^[6] testifying to the potential of organic photochemistry for selective synthesis.

In the context of tandem or cascade reactions, photochemical transformations play an active role.^[7] A photochemical step can be conveniently combined with other categories of synthetic transformations, notably those employing transition-metal catalysis^[8] or featuring radical

cyclizations.^[9] Much less common are cascade reactions in which two (or more) discreet photochemical processes are implicated consecutively.^[10]

The [2+2]-photocycloaddition of an enone and an alkene provides versatile access to functionalized cyclobutanes and, in particular, the use of cyclopent-2-enone derivatives leads to bicyclo[3.2.0]heptan-2-one adducts (Figure 1).^[11] Some




Figure 1. Background for this study.

reports describe the photochemical transformation of bicyclo[3.2.0]heptan-2-one derivatives, through a Norrish-Type-I cleavage followed by γ -hydrogen (γ -H) transfer, to provide cyclobutenylpropanals (Figure 1).^[12] It appeared logical to us that it should be possible to combine a cyclopent-2-enone and an alkene in a tandem photochemical reaction involving a [2+2]-cycloaddition followed by a Norrish-I/ γ -H transfer. Indeed, careful inspection of the literature revealed a few isolated reports on the formation of cyclobutenylpropanal derivatives through such a process;^[13] however, these compounds were formed in low yields and were considered to be side products (Figure 1). To the best of our knowledge, no general photochemical procedure that allows the direct and selective preparation of such structures has been described to date.

Cyclobutene derivatives are attractive intermediates in synthesis,^[14] and the presence of an aldehyde function further enhances the value of such compounds. The opportunity for developing an expedient access to cyclobutenylpropanals from simple cyclopent-2-enone and alkene substrates seemed

[*] Dr. J. Buendia,^[+] Z. Chang,^[+] Dr. H. Eijsberg, Dr. R. Guillot, Dr. S. Robin, Dr. T. Boddaert, Prof. Dr. D. J. Aitken
 ICMMO, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay
 CNRS UMR 8182, Orsay (France)
 E-mail: david.aitken@u-psud.fr

Dr. H. Eijsberg, Dr. A. Frongia, Dr. F. Secci
 Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche e Geologiche
 Università degli Studi di Cagliari, Cagliari (Italy)

Prof. Dr. J. Xie
 PPSM, ENS Paris-Saclay, Université Paris-Saclay
 CNRS UMR 8531, Cachan (France)

Dr. S. Robin
 UFR Sciences Pharmaceutiques et Biologiques
 Université Paris Descartes, Paris (France)

[+] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under:
<https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201803571>.

to us to have been overlooked or at least underexploited. We therefore decided to study this tandem photochemical reaction, with the aim of developing an efficient and viable synthetic procedure. During the course of this study we discovered a triple photochemical cascade reaction which leads to unprecedented angular tricyclic structures (Figure 1).

We first searched for experimental conditions which would allow a simple and practical preparation of cyclobutenylpropanals through a tandem photochemical [2+2]-cycloaddition–Norrish-I/ γ -H transfer process. Cyclopent-2-enone **1a** and cyclopentene (in a 10-fold excess) were selected as the model substrates, and an initial screening was performed by irradiating solutions of these two compounds in different solvents (acetone, acetonitrile, cyclohexane, benzene, toluene, methanol, dichloromethane) using polychromatic light (Hg lamp, Pyrex filter). After 6 h irradiation time, the reaction mixture was examined by ^1H NMR spectroscopy and in each case (with the exception of acetone, in which the main product was **2a**), the target cyclobutenylpropanal **3a** was formed along with a number of other photoproducts. Retaining acetonitrile as a convenient solvent, we irradiated a solution of the model substrates using fluorescent lamps (RPR-3500 Å) with an emission maximum at $\lambda = 350$ nm for 14 h, which selectively gave the [2+2]-cycloaddition adduct **2a** in 76% yield of isolated product as a single *cis-anti-cis* diastereoisomer (d.r. > 95:5, as determined by ^1H NMR analysis; Scheme 1).


When the model substrates were irradiated in acetonitrile solution with higher-energy light using fluorescent lamps (RPR-3000 Å) with an emission maximum at $\lambda = 300$ nm, the main photoproduct observed after 6 h was the target cyclobutene aldehyde **3a**. Unsurprisingly, this compound was rather volatile and easily degraded, thus making its isolation

difficult. Furthermore, we noticed the formation of another unidentified photoproduct, to which we will return later. At best, **3a** could be isolated in a moderate 40% yield. To resolve the problems of product isolation, we envisaged trapping the aldehyde product as an acetal during the photochemical reaction. We screened a variety of acid catalysts and alcohols (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information), and found that the most efficient procedure was to irradiate the standard mixture ($\lambda = 300$ nm) for 6 h in the presence of 10 equivalents of 1,3-propanediol and 10 mol% *p*-toluenesulfonic acid. Under these conditions, the stable acetal **4aa** could be isolated easily in 75% yield (Scheme 1).


To confirm the role of the tricyclic ketone **2a** as an intermediate in the tandem process, this compound was converted into cyclobutene acetal **4aa** in 73% yield by irradiation under the standard conditions (Scheme 1). It was noteworthy that there was no apparent depletion of the chemical yield when the more convenient tandem reaction protocol was used instead of the sequential route.

We then applied these conditions to prepare a wide range of cyclobutenylpropanal acetals (**4aa–jc**; Table 1). Cyclopent-2-enone **1a** and a number of 2- or 4-substituted derivatives (**1b–j**) were examined in combination with three representative alkenes: ethylene, tetramethylethylene, and cyclopent-

Table 1: Preparation of cyclobutene acetals through the tandem photochemical process.^[a]



[a] Reactions were performed with **1** (1.5 mmol), alkene (10 equiv or saturated (for ethylene)), 1,3-propanediol (10 equiv) and TsOH (10 mol%) in acetonitrile (50 mL) in a sealed quartz tube at room temperature; irradiation was performed using a 300 nm light source for a period of 6 h (48 h for **4ea** and **4ec**); yields are given for isolated products; d.r. was determined by ^1H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.



Scheme 1. Single, tandem, or triple cascade photoreactions between cyclopent-2-enone and cyclopentene. The intermediacy of the [2+2]-cycloaddition adduct in the formation of the cyclobutene aldehyde (and thus its acetal), as well as the intermediacy of both of these compounds in the formation of the tricyclic oxetane, is supported by the independent transformations.

tene. While the yields were not universally high, this procedure harnessed for the first time the tandem photochemical process by trapping cyclobutenylpropanals as stable acetals, thus making the method easy to apply with a satisfactory substrate scope. Moreover, synthetically useful functional groups such as a nitrile (**4ca**), and a protected (**4ga**, **4hb**, **4hc**) or a free (**4da**, **4dc**, **4fa**, **4fb**) hydroxy group were tolerated under the reaction conditions. For substrate **1e**, bearing a benzyl chromophore, a longer reaction time was required (48 h), but compounds **4ea** and **4ec** were obtained in satisfactory yields.

To confirm the availability of cyclobutene aldehydes by deprotection of the corresponding acetals, **4aa** was refluxed with 5 mol% *p*-toluenesulfonic acid in aqueous acetone, which furnished **3a** in 68% yield (85% yield based on recovered starting material; Scheme 1). Significantly, this procedure gave **3a** as a much cleaner sample than that obtained through additive-free irradiation of cyclopent-2-enone **1a** and cyclopentene.

As mentioned above, the model reaction of cyclopent-2-enone **1a** with cyclopentene (where acetal trapping was not applied) led to the formation of a second photoadduct along with **3a**. We followed the appearance of this new adduct using ¹H NMR spectroscopy, and found that irradiation ($\lambda = 300$ nm) of the model compounds in acetonitrile for 40 h furnished the new adduct as the only major compound, with no **3a** remaining. The new compound was isolated and its molecular structure was determined by extensive NMR spectroscopic analysis. It had the tetracyclic structure **5aa**, which featured an unprecedented angular 4:4:4 fused ring architecture incorporating an oxygen atom at the apex. The compound was formed as a mixture of two diastereoisomers (d.r. = 4:1) and the major isomer was found to have a *cis-syn-cis* geometry of the linear 4:4:5 ring sequence (Scheme 1).

A plausible mechanism for the formation of **5aa** is a triple photochemical cascade, starting with the [2+2]-photocycloaddition to give **2a**, which undergoes the Norrish-I/ γ -H transfer reaction to give **3a**, which is then converted into **5aa** via an intramolecular Paternò-Büchi reaction.^[15] This hypothesis was supported by the fact that a sample of **3a** (isolated from its acetal as described above) could be transformed into **5aa** in 55% yield when irradiated ($\lambda = 300$ nm) for 40 h in acetonitrile (Scheme 1). Likewise, the tricyclic [2+2]-photoadduct **2a** provided **5aa** in 51% yield under the same conditions (Scheme 1). It was particularly gratifying to note that the yield of **5aa** obtained directly from cyclopent-2-enone **1a** and cyclopentene through the cascade reaction was significantly higher than that using any combination of sequential operations involving isolated samples of **2a** and/or **3a**. Intramolecular Paternò-Büchi reactions have occasionally been used to construct 4:4 fused ring systems^[16] or to elaborate more complex polycyclic skeletons containing an oxetane core,^[17] but to our knowledge the formation of the angular 4:4:4 fused ring system of **5aa** is unprecedented, and would be difficult to access by any other synthetic pathway.

The unique architecture of **5aa** prompted efforts to prepare other complex polycyclic oxetanes using the same photochemical procedure. Although 2-substituted cyclopentenones did not lead to the formation of the desired products,

we were pleased to find that irradiation ($\lambda = 300$ nm) of alkenes and selected 4-substituted derivatives for 40 h in acetonitrile provided a panel of new compounds **5aa–kc** (Table 2). Despite their inherent ring strain, these compounds displayed remarkable stability and could be isolated by column chromatography on silica gel. Moreover, the presence of a free alcohol group in such structures (**5fa**, **5fb**, **5fc**) was fully tolerated by the reaction conditions.

Table 2: Preparation of angular tricyclic oxetanes through the triple photochemical cascade process.^[a]

 5aa 72% (d.r. = 4:1)	 5fa 41% (d.r. = 1:1)	 5ka 42% (d.r. = 1.3:1)	 5ja 21% (d.r. = 1.6:1)
 5ab 25%	 5fb 26%	 5hb 21%	 5ib 48%
 5fc 24%	 5hc 20%	 5ic 26%	 5kc 25%

[a] Reactions were performed with **1** (1.5 mmol) and alkene (10 equiv or saturated (for ethylene)), in acetonitrile (50 mL) in a sealed quartz tube at room temperature; irradiation was performed using a 300 nm light source for a period of 40 h; yields are given for isolated products; d.r. was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

Among these compounds, **5fb** alone was a solid product, and we obtained a single crystal that was suitable for X-ray diffraction (Figure 2).^[18] As expected, the oxetane ring was planar, but both cyclobutanes were unusually flattened with




Figure 2. Structure of compound **5fb**. Left: the structure as determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Right: the diagnostic NOESY correlations observed in solution (20 mM in CDCl₃) for the *syn* relative configuration of the hydroxy group with respect to the oxetane ring.

ring puckering not exceeding 8°. Striking structural features were the sterically challenging torsion angles of 9.9° and 9.4° for the vicinal methyl group pairs and the 134.4° C-C-C bond angle connecting the three rings.

NOESY NMR correlations observed for **5 fb** in CDCl₃ solution were in complete agreement with the geometry indicated by the X-ray study, in particular the *syn* orientation of the hydroxy group with regards to the fused oxetane (Figure 2). The same characteristic correlations were observed for each of the compounds **5** illustrated on Table 2, thus indicating that the intramolecular Paternò-Büchi step proceeds diastereoselectively, regardless of the identity of the substituent at the 4-position of the cyclopent-2-enone substrate. This is presumably the result of a preferred conformation of the cyclobutene aldehyde precursor that facilitates the intramolecular attack of the alkene by the excited state carbonyl oxygen atom in a diastereofacially selective fashion, although this hypothesis has not been investigated in detail.

Collectively, the above results provide an instructive demonstration of the controlled reorganization of atom connectivity starting from a simple “substrate package”, whereby considerable molecular diversity is accessed from the same pair of substrates. Depending on the conditions employed, irradiation of a solution containing a cyclopent-2-enone and an alkene leads selectively to a bicyclic adduct, a cyclobutene aldehyde or a tricyclic angular oxetane, through a single, tandem, or triple photochemical process, respectively. The UV absorption spectra of **1a**, **2a**, and **3a** reveal how the wavelength of the light is determinant for the reactivity profile: with a $\lambda = 350$ nm light source, the [2+2]-cycloaddition can proceed but the bicyclo[3.2.0]heptan-2-one adduct does not react further, whereas with a $\lambda = 300$ nm light source, the tandem or triple cascade reaction takes place efficiently (Figure 3). The importance of trapping the cyclobutene aldehyde in situ as an acetal is also underlined; without it, the triple reaction will ensue.

In conclusion, while some precedent had been observed for tandem photochemical transformations of cyclopent-2-enones and alkenes, this work outlines the first practical synthetic procedure applicable to a panel of derivatives: the key to success is to trap the products as stable acetals. Alternatively, a triple photochemical cascade process can be conducted, furnishing angular tricyclic oxetane cores in a highly stereoselective manner. These compounds seem

likely to be of interest in drug discovery programs or for furthering molecular diversity.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the French ANR through a Labex Charm3at grant (ANR-11-LABX-0039) to J.B., the China Scholarship Council for a pre-doctoral grant to Z.C., and the French MESR for a pre-doctoral grant to H.E. We are grateful to our now-retired colleagues Prof. P.P. Piras (Cagliari) and Dr. J. Ollivier (Orsay) for their help and support during the early stages of this project.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: cascade reactions · cycloaddition · cyclobutenes · oxetanes · photochemistry

How to cite: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 6592–6596
Angew. Chem. **2018**, *130*, 6702–6706




Figure 3. UV absorbance in the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ spectral region for compounds **1a** (blue), **2a** (green), and **3a** (red); 40 mM solutions in acetonitrile.

- [1] a) T. Bach, J. H. Hehn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 1000–1045; *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 1032–1077; b) N. Hoffmann, *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 1052–1103; c) *Handbook of Synthetic Photochemistry* (Eds.: A. Albini, M. Fagnoni), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2010**.
- [2] W. Liu, C.-J. Li, *Synlett* **2017**, *28*, 2714–2754.
- [3] a) C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic, D. W. C. MacMillan, *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 5322–5363; b) T. P. Yoon, M. A. Ischay, J. Du, *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 527–532.
- [4] R. Brimiouille, D. Lenhart, M. M. Maturi, T. Bach, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 3872–3890; *Angew. Chem.* **2015**, *127*, 3944–3963.
- [5] C. Yang, Y. Inoue, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 4123–4143.
- [6] a) D. Cambié, C. Bottecchia, N. J. W. Straathof, V. Hessel, T. Noël, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 10276–10341; b) M. Oelgemöller, O. Shvydkiv, *Molecules* **2011**, *16*, 7522–7550.
- [7] L. F. Tietze, G. Brasche, K. M. Gericke, *Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2006**, pp. 337–358.
- [8] a) C. Wang, J. Qiao, X. Liu, H. Song, Z. Sun, W. Chu, *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 1422–1430; b) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, B. Aparicio, C. Lazaro-Milla, A. Luna, O. N. Faza, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2017**, *359*, 2789–2800; c) L.-L. Liao, Y.-Y. Gui, X.-B. Zhang, G. Shen, H.-D. Liu, W.-J. Zhou, J. Li, D.-G. Yu, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 3735–3738.
- [9] a) Y. Yuan, W. Dong, X. Gao, H. Gao, X. Xie, Z. Zhang, *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 2840–2846; b) X. Li, Z. Wu, Z. Zhang, P. Liu, P. Sun, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2018**, *16*, 414–423.
- [10] a) A. Zech, T. Bach, *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 3069–3077; b) S. Pusch, D. Schollmeyer, T. Opatz, *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 3043–3045; c) P. J. Koovits, J. P. Knowles, K. I. Booker-Milburn, *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 5608–5611; d) E. Pereira, S. Faure, D. J. Aitken, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 1968–1970; e) K. I. Booker-Milburn, J. R. Baker, I. Bruce, *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 1481–1484; f) J. P. Dittami, X. Nie, Y. Nie, H. Ramanathan, C. Buntel, S. Rigatti, J. Bordner, D. L. Decosta, P. Willard, *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 1151–1158.
- [11] a) S. Poplata, A. Tröster, Y.-Q. Zou, T. Bach, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 9748–9815; b) P. Margaretha in *Synthetic Organic Photochemistry, Molecular and Supramolecular Photochemistry*,

- Vol. 12 (Eds.: A. G. Griesbeck, J. Mattay), Marcel-Dekker, New York, **2005**, pp. 211–237; c) M. T. Crimmins, T. L. Reinhold, *Org. React.* **1993**, *44*, 297–588.
- [12] a) L. K. Sydnes, D. V. Ha, *Aust. J. Chem.* **2009**, *62*, 101–107; b) L. K. Sydnes, K. I. Hansen, D. L. Oldroyd, A. C. Weedon, E. Jørgensen, *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1993**, *47*, 916–924; c) R. J. Batten, H. A. J. Carless, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1985**, 1146–1147; d) R. L. Cargill, T. Y. King, A. B. Sears, M. R. Willcott, *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, *36*, 1423–1428.
- [13] a) C. Shih, E. L. Fritzen, J. S. Swenton, *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 4462–4471; b) G. L. Lange, H. M. Campbell, E. Neidert, *J. Org. Chem.* **1973**, *38*, 2117–2121; c) T. S. Cantrell, J. S. Solomon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 4656–4663.
- [14] a) Y. Xu, M. L. Conner, M. K. Brown, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 11918–11928; *Angew. Chem.* **2015**, *127*, 12086–12097; b) N. Gauvry, C. Lescop, F. Huet, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 5207–5218.
- [15] a) M. Fréneau, N. Hoffmann, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. C* **2017**, *33*, 83–108; b) M. D'Auria in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, 2nd ed., Vol. 5 (Eds.: P. Knochel, G. A. Molander), Elsevier, Amsterdam, **2014**, pp. 159–199.
- [16] a) C. Hammaeher, C. Portella, *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 5833–5835; b) M. C. De la Torre, I. García, M. A. Sierra, *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 6611–6618; c) A. Pascual, N. Bischofberger, B. Frei, O. Jeger, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1988**, *71*, 374–388; d) J. Mattay, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 2309–2312.
- [17] a) R. A. Valiulin, D. G. Dressen, J. R. Riggs, F. M. Habte, A. G. Kutateladze, *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 3484–3490; b) J. Iriondo-Alberdi, J. E. Perea-Buceta, M. F. Greaney, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 3969–3971; c) M. G. Rosenberg, P. Billing, L. Brecker, U. H. Brinker, *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 8786–8799.
- [18] CCDC 1822925 (**5fb**) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
- [19] a) E. M. Carreira, T. C. Fessard, *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 8257–8322; b) A. Mann in *The Practice of Medicinal Chemistry*, 3rd ed. (Ed.: C. G. Wermuth), Elsevier, Amsterdam, **2008**, pp. 363–379.

Manuscript received: March 25, 2018

Revised manuscript received: April 6, 2018

Accepted manuscript online: April 12, 2018

Version of record online: April 26, 2018