

Optimisation Discrète Non linéaire

M1 Informatique site d'Orsay

Integer Non Linear Programming:

- 0-1 Quadratic Programming**
- Pure Integer separable quadratic programming**
- Pure Integer nonseparable quadratic programming**

What is Quadratic terms ?

When you need to choose one decision variable and an other decision variable, at the same time.

When the problem becomes quadratic ?

(QKP) : maximiser $f(x) = \sum b_i x_i + \sum \sum g_{ij} x_i x_j$
sous les contraintes :

$$\sum w_i x_i \leq W$$

$$x_i \in \{0;1\}$$

QKP

First remark : (QKP) not always convex, it depends on the nature of the matrix Q

- if Q is SDP \Rightarrow (QKP) convex
- If so \Rightarrow a solver like CPLEX can solve directly the problem

2nd remark : linear solver are well known and used, so it is usual to find/search linearization techniques to deal with a linear problem (equivalent) instead of non linear initial problem

Linearization of the quadratic term

For all $x \in \{0;1\}$, for all $y \in [0, U(y)]$, and for all $e \in \mathbb{R}$, $e=xy$
if and only if the following constraints are satisfied :

$$e \leq x U(y)$$

$$e \leq y$$

$$e \geq y - (1-x)U(y)$$

$$e \geq 0$$

Linearization of the quadratic term

Proof :

Assuming that $e=xy$. Let us prove that \Rightarrow

Let examine the two possible values of the variable x .

\rightarrow if $x=0$ then $e=0$ and the previous system of inequations becomes :

$$0 \leq 0$$

$$0 \leq y$$

$$0 \geq y - U(y)$$

$$0 \geq 0$$

System 1 satisfied !

Linearization of the quadratic term

Proof :

→ if $x=1$ then the inequations system becomes :

$$e \leq U(y)$$

$$e \leq y$$

$$e \geq y$$

$$e \geq 0$$

Then we have that $e = y$!

Linearization of the quadratic term

Proof :

Let us now assume that the system of inequations is satisfied and
let us prove that \leq

Let us examine the two possible values of the variable x .

→ if $x=0$ then the system becomes

$$e \leq 0$$

$$e \leq y$$

$$e \geq y - U(y)$$

$$e \geq 0$$

then : $e = 0$

An exemple : linarization

Replacing the product x_1x_4 by new variable e_{14} and the product x_2x_3 by e_{23} .

Adding constraints linearization to force variable e_{14} to take the value of the product x_1x_4 and variable e_{23} to take the value of the product x_2x_3 .

An example

We obtain the following mixed integer linear program :

(LP1) : maximize $f(x) = 2x_1 + 5x_2 - x_4 + 2e_{14}$

Subjected to :

$$x_2 + x_3 - 3x_4 + 3e_{23} \leq 2e_{14}$$

$$x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 + 2e_{23} \geq 12$$

$$e_{14} \leq 8x_1 ;$$

$$e_{14} \leq x_4$$

$$e_{14} \geq x_4 - 8(1-x_1)$$

$$e_{14} \geq 0$$

$$e_{23} \leq 5x_2$$

$$e_{23} \leq x_3$$

$$e_{23} \geq x_3 - 5(1-x_2)$$

$$e_{23} \geq 0$$

$$x_1, x_2 \in \{0,1\} ; 0 \leq x_3 \leq 5 ; 0 \leq x_4 \leq 8$$

An optimal solution is the following

$x_1=1, x_2=1, x_3=3, x_4=8, e_{14}=8$ et $e_{23}=3$.

The objective value is equal to 15.

An optimal solution of QP1 is then

$x_1=1, x_2=1, x_3=3, x_4=8$

Extension to the cross term when the two variables are 0-1

xy can be replaced by a 0-1 variable denoted by e (for instance) and we have to add the following constraints :

$$e \leq x$$

$$e \leq y$$

$$1 - x - y + e \geq 0$$

$$e \geq 0$$